

ICA - SAE Conference Papers
Review Form

We appreciate your willingness to serve as a reviewer. As reviewer, we ask that you to assess both the quality and original contributions of the manuscript being considered for publication. Your comments should cover important aspects of the manuscript, including content, structure, and readability. Please avoid placing too much emphasis on copy editing or correcting grammatical errors. Focus instead on the quality of content and originality of contribution to existing knowledge and literature.

PART 1. Review. In keeping with the focus on content, structure, and readability criteria, please respond to the following questions:

1. Does the article address an interesting or significant problem, topic or issue relevant to archival education?
2. Does the author(s) have a thorough grasp of the subject matter and discuss current literature in the field to support his/her argument?
3. Are there flaws in the approaches, methods, arguments or data analyses or conclusions?
4. Does the article appeal to readers and is it interesting to read?
5. Please comment on the structure. Is the article's organization logical? Is the article well written, clear and easy to read?
6. Any other comments?

PART 2. Recommendation. I recommend that the article be:

- Accepted
- Accepted, with minor editing
- Accepted, with revision
- Revised and re-submitted
- Rejected